<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>I. Use Case Description</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use Case Name</strong></td>
<td>Culture Shock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use Case Identifier</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source</strong></td>
<td>Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Computer Science Department</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Point of Contact**        | Beverly Sihsobhon (sihsob@rpi.edu)  
                            | Cameron Mine (minec@rpi.edu)  
                            | Chandler Dunn (dunnc@rpi.edu)  
                            | Amartya Chakraborty (chakra2@rpi.edu) |
| **Revision Date**           | 2/19/2017                   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>II. Use Case Summary</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal</strong></td>
<td>A user, who is familiar with a particular subset of television shows, finds a topic/political area covered in the show that they have questions about. The user then opens the Culture Shock chrome extension where they can find a response to their question from three particular perspectives: culture, legislation, and social networking. Each of the perspectives utilize their respective datasets to provide a holistic answer to the question that was presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Requirements**            | Culture:  
                            | The ontology helps everyday people understand the bigger picture race in America by gathering fundamentals from TV shows which cover cultural topics and themes such as (politics, food, heritage). These themes must make up the majority of the show to provide significance to the user.  
                            | Legislation:  
                            | The platform must retrieve reliable data. Data must be classified and searchable by general terms, so bills on health care, for example, should be classified as such even if the bill doesn’t contain the phrase “health care”.  
                            | Social Networking:  
                            | The relevant data must be available and accessible on social media to give sufficient results to the user about the topic. |
| **Scope**                   | The scope of this use case is that the questions will be related to only television shows focused primarily on cultural or political topics included in the show. The point of the system is not to provide basic details about the show like who are the actors, where was it filmed, etc. |
Topics that are out of scope are defined by the themes not covered or mentioned in the program such as space travel and questions that have no influence or relevance to culture. While they (the questions) may be real world connections between the theme and culture we must have existence of it in the data set (Atlanta) for the question to be answered.

Stakeholders

Development team: The stakeholders for this use case are the four developers, Beverly Sihsobhon, Cameron Mine, Chandler Dunn, and Amartya Chakraborty. The stakeholders also include Professor McGuiness and Professor Kendall as they are both our mentors for this use case.

Culture:
black community (culture community in question); culturally aware individuals; television enthusiasts; Atlanta fans;
sociology community

Legislation:
Voters, legislators, educators, political or cultural researchers

Social Networking:
Social media users

Description

The idea of how Culture Shock works is that the user will open the chrome extension. The extension will open as a small window that is part of the current tab. The user is then prompted to enter in a question into that window, that should be based off of a cultural question from a television show. The extension will then use its ontology to search for a response to the question as it relates to culture, legislation and social media.

In terms of culture, the ontology will be used to process data from a particular TV show. The ontology would go through the summaries of each of the episodes of the television show to develop an idea of the cultural values discussed on the show. If it can gather an appropriate amount of information, it will then look for information related to legislation which is focused on this topic. The ontology will go through the APIs provided by the Sunlight Foundation and Propublica in order to gather information about any relevant legislation on the topic and then provides it back to the user. Lastly, the ontology will look up terminology as needed through the use of social media websites or applications such as but not limited to Urban Dictionary,
Facebook, and Twitter depending on the question provided by the user. The information as returned to the user will be social media results first which allows the user to either view the post directly or click on a link which takes them to the post. For relevant legislation, a list of legislation will be displayed to the user.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actors / Interfaces</th>
<th>Culture:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The primary actors for the use case are the individuals who help find answers within the tv show (Devs) to common culture questions; the show/ontologies da pulled from; and the user who supplies the questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Legislation: |
| Primary: Sites from which data is pulled from/United States Congress |
| Users asking the question |

| Social Networking: |
| Additional actors in the social media element of this use case include the APIs that will be utilized to retrieve data from social networking sites. |

| Interfaces: |
| Implemented as a Google Chrome extension, that will either provide a small interface that opens within the user’s current tab or open a new tab in the same window that will display more information. |

| Pre-conditions | The use case assumes that the tv program has a wide enough range to answer the questions. Additionally, it assumes that there is a universal agreement to answer to the cultural questions that can be proven by the data. Lastly, the programmer needs access to the script of the show; congressional bills available; the data from social media trends; and other data to answer the cultural questions. |

| Post-conditions | Upon typing in his or her question to the extension, the user will receive appropriate responses to the question in terms of culture, legislation and social media |

| Triggers | The trigger for this use case is whenever the user chooses to ask a question, which is physically achieved by clicking the extension’s icon and typing the question into the pop-up box. |
III. Usage Scenarios

Usage Scenario A:

Sean makes heavy use of features such as Amazon’s X-ray and Google Play’s Info Cards while he watches tv. While he deeply enjoys seeing a cinematic masterpiece unfold before his eyes there is something about knowing more about the actors, related films and even the songs playing in the show that excites him. Yet unfortunately, Sean feels that while these info cards enable him to have a more trivial understanding of the programming they don’t provide him with an opportunity to do more or change the world. That remained the case until he downloaded the chrome extension Culture Shock. With Culture Shock, Sean can query the ontology at will to provide insight into things he is curious about such as mental illness. Sean spends the time reading the legislation that the ontology provided and now has a better picture on how messed up the system really is. Once Sean finishes reading the legislation he feels a need to stand up and make a difference for the members of this community. With the Facebook and Twitter posts that were returned Sean finds an organization that is fighting for equal rights that he can follow for an up to date information about how to fight for the cause. Not only has Sean learned more about what it means to live with mental illness and how our congressional body addresses the issue but he walks away knowing he has joined a digital community that is willing to fight to the rights of all.

Usage Scenario B:

Chance sits at home in his favorite chair having just finished the second episode of Atlanta. Chance had used Culture Shock to get the short synopsis (specific ontology term) of the episode before hand. He saw that in this episode “Issues like police brutality, mental illness, and transphobia are addressed as Earn awaits bail after the boys get arrested for their shooting incident.” Yet it wasn’t until Chance was completely finished with the episode that he understood the gravity of police brutality and how it alters one’s life. Feeling like he needed to better educate himself on the subject he triggers the ontology by pressing a button then using the drop down mean to ask the ontology what police brutality is. The ontology returns to Chance a list of ten pieces of legislation which strongly correlate to the question asked. In addition Chance also sees five posts from Facebook that either come from the public highest voted or from members of their friend graph which the Graph API pulled. Lastly, there will be another five posts that come from Twitter based on their retweet meeting.

Usage Scenario C:

“Wow this Zan guys is absolutely terrible....I wonder if people really actually act that way” Sarah ponders as she turns off the show. She then heads over Culture Shock hits the button then types in the structured question what’s a social media troll. Thinking that Zansexual would end with the tv show never breaking the fourth wall, Sarah is surprised to find that there is an actually definition for a troll and that there are tons of social media posts where it seems like people specialize in this internet mockery sometimes going so far as “hate speech” as one of the
linked legislation articles. Because her eyes have been open Sarah vows to better protect her
digital identity representations for the likes of trolls like Zan...but not before she finishes reading
the Trump trolls.

Usage Scenario D:

Abigail is part of the Wenger Mennonites Amish community who as a twenty year old is
enjoying her period of Rumspringa. As a result of Abigail’s religious upbringing she is
unfamiliar with some of the term “weed” that is being used in episode six. Knowing she can’t
ask her friends who are also on Rumspringa, she opens the Culture Shock chrome extension
installed on the computer and uses the drop down mean to look up “what is weed”. Abigail then
gets 20 results that inform her about what weed is and provides a congressional viewpoint and a
social network’s viewpoint. While the Controlled Substances Act worried Abigail greatly that
weed was wreaking havoc on the American people, other bills that spoke to weed’s therapeutic
use helped her see that maybe there is a right time and place for weed. Although Abigail is a
hundred percent certain she will not be smoking weed with her parents anytime soon.

Usage Scenario E:

“So...is Earn impoverished?” Rachel isn’t really sure about what poverty in America looks like
so she goes to Culture Shock to find more legislation about poverty because she feels that
although Earn doesn’t fit the appearance of homeless he definitely is in need of government
assistance. From the results that she got from the legislation side she is able to confirm Earn is
impoverished and most folks (on social media) how no idea how difficult life can be for someone
who is living below the poverty line.

IV. Basic Flow of Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Flow of Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening the extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display UI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entering question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieve results from legislation source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search results on Twitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search results on Facebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display Results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. Alternate Flow of Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Actor (Person)</th>
<th>Actor (System)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unable to update data</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>If due to some network error or some other reason that we couldn’t do the search, there should be some kind of message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search returns no results</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>Returns the following message: Seems like we are unable to see how your question falls within the scope of the show! You can rephrase /reformat the show or send a culture enthusiast a message here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search returns nothing on legislation</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>If the search is unable to find anything related to legislation, a concise message should tell the user that no related legislative information could be found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search returns nothing on social media</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>If the search is unable to find anything related from social media sources, then a concise message should tell the user that no relevant pieces of social media could be found</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VI. Use Case and Activity Diagram

A) Primary Activity Diagram
B) Sub Diagram 1 - Legislation Results

C) Sub Diagram 2 - Twitter Results

D) Sub Diagram 3 - Facebook Results
E) Sub Diagram 4 - Display Results
VII. Competency Questions
NOTE: We have set up our competency questions in a tiered format. Some questions are necessary questions for the ontology to answer but are a subset of questions that relate the larger more important questions that we expect users to ask the system. We have bolded the important competency questions.

1. **Is Darius black?**
   a. While this question at first seems like a basic yes or no question, the importance of the ontology will be with how it uses the definitions and relations to draw inferences to important topics that can be used to search for legislation and social media. If it’s okay, I will break this question up into multiple questions that will count towards our 10. Question 1: Who is Darius?
   i. The ontology will first need to identify that from a tv show there are characters and that there is a character named Darius. A property of the term Darius would include where he is from which is Nigeria. This part relates to the other part of this general question. However, the most important fact that the ontology finds is that this character in the show is from Nigeria. The ontology will either have to include a “places” term with “Nigeria” being a term and identified as being a country in Africa or “Nigeria” is a subterm branching from Darius as he is the only one
directly related to “Nigeria” in this show (and it will be similarly defined as being a country in Africa).

b. Question 2: What is the requirement to be black?
   i. Essentially within the ontology, the concept of “black” will be defined as a term that follows from a general theme of race. While we know that race is a sensitive and difficult topic to define, we use it here as a way to help categorize and incorporate the term “black” into the scope of the ontology. The term “black” is important to the show and thus important to the ontology. Another place to put it might be as a subterm of types of actors (every tv show has actors) or types of characters, but neither of these places seem ideal in placement for this term within the structure. The basic definition is a person having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa that also include respondents who reported entries such as African American; Sub Saharan African entries, such as Kenyan and Nigerian; and Afro-Caribbean entries such as Haitian and Jamaican. As mentioned above, Nigeria is a term where it is defined as being a country in Africa so yes Darius is black.

c. Inferencing
   i. Now even though the ontology has technically answered the question, the other aspect of our project is to provide relevant legislation and social media posts. While it’s possible that we could just do a general search on “black” or “race”, the ontology can help identify terms that are more relevant to these two outlets. In this case, the ontology will infer that because Darius is from Nigeria but now in the United States as the show takes place in Atlanta, Georgia. The way it knows where the show takes place is that there is a property of the tv show which includes “location” which is set to Atlanta, Georgia. From this information, it infers that he must have crossed borders between countries; probably more in fact to get to the US. Within the ontology, we would include themes of the show (or episode) which will include immigration. The criteria to satisfy the needs of immigration will include crossing borders between two different countries. Having identified that Darius is an immigrant, Culture Shock can now do a more relevant search for this question.

d. Searching
   i. Legislation
      1. Primarily now that the ontology has identified “immigration” to be the main search, it can now search through Congress.gov from APIs using the term “immigration”. To narrow down that search, we can also have it expanded to “Nigeria” or just “Africa”. We pick the top ten pieces of legislation (that may or may not be curated based on time and ability). We would also be able to refine searches to passed bills or to exclude resolutions (but that’s up to later decisions).
   
      ii. Social media

      1. For social media, due to the vastness of Facebook and Twitter, it
would be important to start the search off with “immigration” and “Nigeria” or “immigration” and “Africa” to narrow down results. Also results would be limited to the past year to keep posts relevant. For Twitter, the tweets we pick will be based on the number of favorites and retweets, and for Facebook, the posts we pick will be based on the number of likes and shares.

2. **Did Alfred use drugs?**
   a. Similar to other questions, the ontology will need to identify who Alfred is as well as episode information about him. We will have summary information about each episode that relates the important event to the characters involved. The ontology will need to identify who the characters are and then look through the episode terms to identify that information. There are rumors in the show about Alfred, which can be mapped to character properties, that state he has either used drugs or is a drug dealer. While the overall question seems like a trivial yes or no answer, it is important to note that the inferencing will draw out the underlying question or assumptions made by this provided question. From “drugs” the ontology can identify that there are sub terms under drugs that describe popular drugs used. The ontology could then assume that if he used drugs, it would be one of these drugs statistically that he had used.
   b. **Inferencing**
      i. The ontology would infer that because Alfred used drugs, he was in possession of drugs. The ontology would look through the themes and sub categories to see if there’s anything important in relation to themes. It would look through crime and see that being in possession of drugs is a form of inchoate crime. From this the ontology now knows that not only is being in possession of drugs a crime but also that it makes Alfred a criminal. From here it orient the searches to be more relevant to his possession of drugs.
   c. **Searching**
      i. **Legislation**
         1. Now that the ontology has identified possession of drugs as a crime, it can use this information as search terms for legislation. The search terms would be “crime” and within it would be “possession of drugs”. If the results turn out to be too limited, then we can also expand the search to just “crime” and “drugs” or specific a kind of drug. The searching could follow the similar filtering methods mentioned in the other questions.
      ii. **Social Media**
         1. Social media would replicate a similar search but instead of searching “crime”, it would just search “possession of drugs” and it could search specific kinds of drugs. The results would be limited to within the past year.

3. **Is Alfred a drug dealer?**
   a. While along a similar vein of the previous question, the inference that the ontology takes would be different. While still identifying all of the information
listed above. The ontology would see that within the background information property of the character, there are rumors about him being a drug dealer. Assuming that he is a drug dealer, the ontology will identify that a drug dealer involves drugs. Search through the themes of the show, it would see that based on the definition of “drug dealer”, it would classify that as a type of statutory crime.

b. Inferences
   i. The ontology could then be used to infer that a drug dealer involves the selling of drugs which is why it satisfied the classification for being a type of statutory crime. It could infer that being a drug dealer involves possession of drugs but is different from possession of drugs. It can then use these inferences to help refine the searches.

c. Search
   i. Legislation
      1. Terms would be “drug dealing” or “drug dealer” and “selling drugs” and possibly “crime”. As with the other questions, it would follow similar filtering to help identify the top 10.
   ii. Social media
      1. Terms would be “drug dealing” or “drug dealer” and possibly “crime”. As with the other questions, it would follow similar filtering to help identify the top 10.

4. Why did Van lose her job?
   a. Like the other questions, the ontology will first need to identify who Van is, what her occupation is, and episode information that mentions an event where Van loses her job. Within that same episode, the ontology will identify that during that same episode she had smoked weed. The ontology would then draw the conclusion that it was because she smoked weed.
   b. Inferencing
      i. In order to identify the more useful search terms for legislation and social media, the ontology would first see that weed is another name for marijuana, and marijuana is a type of drug. From there it would then infer that she was in possession of drugs and follow similar inferencing to the question(s) above. However, instead of just assuming that the general idea is possession of drugs, the ontology could use the fact that it now knows she used and had drugs to help with the searching in relation to losing her job. The ontology would infer that if someone has a job, then they have an employer.
   c. Searching
      i. Legislation
         1. The search would now be refined to “marijuana”, “job”, “employer”. It could be expanded or narrowed to “drugs”, “drug usage”, “possession of drugs”, etc. It would follow similar filtering as other questions to help identify the top ten pieces of legislation.
      ii. Social media
1. For social media, we think that we could extract “lose her job” and change it to “losing their job” and “marijuana” (or “weed”) for the search. We will filter similarly as with the other results from social media.

5. Is Ernest in poverty?
   a. There are several components that are needed within the ontology. If it’s okay, I am going to break this question down into two sub-questions (but is counted in our 10 total questions). Question 1: Who is Ernest?
      i. First it will have to know who Ernest is. The ontology will see that part of every tv show (tv show is the top level of the ontology (so the root) there are characters and Ernest is a character (and a main character). Keep in mind that the important is related to the second question (which follows below) where based on the provided definitions of poverty, Ernest based on details about him will match him with a specific kind of poverty.
   b. Question 2: What is poverty?
      i. Then it will look for poverty. There are two methods of setting this up. Either every tv show has episodes and every episode has themes or every tv show has themes (that isn’t to say there cannot be relations connecting tv show to theme while also connecting episodes to theme). There will be a general theme of poverty, but from poverty there will be subcategories of types of poverty. Before the specific categories within poverty, there are two types of poverty: relative poverty and absolute poverty. These are two necessary terms to help identify and answer what type of poverty Ernest is in. In this case, based on the defined conditions of relative poverty and absolute poverty, the ontology will be used to identify that he is in relative poverty. He lacks permanent residence, but he still has access to basic fundamental needs such as shelter, clean food and water, and education. From relative poverty and absolute poverty, we would define the subtypes of poverty as mentioned below. There should also be other terms or something property within the term poverty that notes different parts of speech or forms (like impoverished).
   c. Here’s where the inferencing comes in.
      i. The ontology will either contain a property of the term, Ernest, that he lives in a storage unit or it will contain an complete episode summary where it will look through the ten available episodes and see that there is a focus in episode 10 on the fact that Ernest lives in a storage facility space. A subterm of poverty, which we might call poverty_no_permanent_residence, will be defined as someone is considered homeless or in poverty if they do not have a permanent residence or if they live in tenement housing sponsored by the government (will solidify this definition in the future). The ontology will then identify that Ernest falls under this category and from here develop a more fine tuned search for the question. Basically the condition to fall under this kind of poverty is that an individual has to have no form of permanent residence or they live in government sponsored housing. We assume that
this can be mapped to an OWL or RDF formatted logical conditions to satisfy this term.

d. Searching
   i. Legislation
      1. Instead of just doing a general search about poverty, the ontology can now leverage this new information about homelessness or lacking permanent residences to help search for related legislation and social media posts. When searching through the APIs that connect to Congress.gov, the terms will be within the search “poverty”, search “homelessness” and “no permanent residence”. If the search returns too few results (so fewer than 10 for a basic case), then it could then remove “poverty” from the search, and just search “homelessness” and “no permanent residence” separately to make sure that the previous search wasn’t limited by the term “poverty”. The top 10 results, which could then be curated depending on how much time we have to sort through the results, will then be returned to the user.

   ii. Social Media
      1. From these pieces of legislation, we could also, but this optional for now, pull geo-location information based on the legislator that sponsored the bill to help narrow down specific places from which we grab social media posts. With social media, we would do a similar search with the terms “poverty”, “homelessness”, and “no permanent residence” along with a filter of looking at posts within the last year to keep the posts somewhat relevant. We will for now choose the top five tweets from Twitter (this pick is based off of the number of favorites and retweets the post has) and the top five posts from Facebook (this pick is based off of the number of likes/reactions and shares as well as picking posts from friends).

6. In episode 8, was Paper Boi accused of armed robbery or for the shooting at the club?
   a. The ontology would be used to evaluate terms such as “armed”, “robbery”, shooting” and “club”. In addition, the ontology would need to find out who Paper Boi is exactly and what situation he got himself into that involved the accusations. The inferences here are that the ontology can relate shooting to guns and thus it can look into legislation on guns. Another inference that can be made is that since there was a club involved, there must be some legislation or rules that govern how it operates. The ontology can also look up relevant legislation for the operation of a club. The ontology can also then look for legislation on robbery. In addition, the term “armed” implies that the person had some sort of weapon thus that can also go back to finding legislation on gun control. On social media, the same can be done in terms of trying to find relevant conversations on gun control, armed robberies and even experiences at the club and also look for any posts about any shootings or robberies which occurred at some club. Both armed robbery and shootings can be seen as a personal crime since they both cause physical and
mental harm to the victim.

7. **In episode 10, when the Uber driver was shot by the police, was that a case of police brutality?**
   a. The ontology here would be used to evaluate the terms such as “police brutality” and “shot”. As for inferences that can be made, the term “shot” means that there may have been some weapon utilized usually related to a gun. In addition, the ontology would also need to identify some background information on the Uber driver since he was involved in dealing drugs. Thus, as he was involved in some criminal activity, whether or not police brutality occurred in this case is not as easy to define. The ontology would also need to infer a definition for police brutality along with criteria which classifies it. From there, the ontology would evaluate the information it has extracted along with the criteria for police brutality and then determine whether or not this was an example of police brutality. The ontology can search for legislation related to gun control as well as legislation that focuses on police brutality. Moreover, the ontology would also be able to find recent posts on social media that relate to police brutality as well as topics such as dealing drugs.

8. **Does [Insert Name] suffer from Police Brutality?**
   ➔ Answer to the question: Yes, [Insert Name] is a victim of Police Brutality
   ➔ Inferences made to answer the question:
      ◆ Who is [Insert Name]?
         ● Character of the show who is under police custody (detainee)
         ● Appears in Episode 2
         ● Suffers from a mental illness and is referred to as “crazy”
      ◆ What is the theme present in the structured question?
         ● Police Brutality
      ◆ What is Police Brutality?
         ● Answer: Exertion of unnecessary force by a person in a law-enforcement occupation
         ● Does Police Brutality have different properties/subclasses? Given that is does the Ontology must then prepare to determine if [Insert Name] has suffered any particular type of Police Brutality. This is done using the long synopsis and “looking” at particular events that occur during the scene. The ontology would that the is beaten until the need of hospitalization, that he suffers from mental illness and no accommodations were made; and was made fun of repeatedly by officers.
      ◆ Has [Insert Name] experienced any of these things?
         ● Using the events listed in the previous sub-question the ontology can see that the criteria of Police Brutality was met and can even list the types of Police Brutality found: Malicious Prosecution, Rights of Pre-Trail Detainees, and Excessive Force.

9. **Does Alfred Miles Follow Zan?**
   ➔ Who is Alfred Miles?
      ◆ Alfred “Paper Boi” Miles is a character who is a Atlanta rapper
      ◆ Currently “trending” on social media in the show
Who is Zan?

- Social Troll
- “Fan” of Paper Boi
- Deliveries pizza as occupation

What events are there with both Alfred and Zan?

- Ontology looks at events which were created from long synopsis and finds that Alfred and Zan have had arguments over the internet
- Ontology also finds that Alfred has asked Zan to stop posting about him
- Additional event, Alfred refuses to wear a Zan hat and take a picture for Zan’s account

What inference can be made?

- Given that Alfred and Zan have had multiple negative events regarding social media, Alfred is not following Zan nor Zan’s work.

10. What is Earn’s relationship with Vanessa?

The ontology in this case would be involved in finding out about who Earn is and who Vanessa are and what their relationship is. The ontology would have to comprehend the meaning of the term “relationship” and what it exactly means. The inferences here are that “relationship” can mean terms such as “civil union”, “partner”, and “marriage”. Thus, legislation involved here would be based on these topics. As for social media, the ontology would search Twitter and Facebook to find relevant posts on these topics.

VIII. Resources

### Knowledge Bases, Repositories, or other Data Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Access Policies &amp; Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight Foundation</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>API</td>
<td>Contains all the necessary information about bills and legislators</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://sunlightfoundation.com/api/">https://sunlightfoundation.com/api/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propublica</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>API</td>
<td>Similar to previous source</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://propublica.github.io/congress-api-docs/#congress-api-documentation">https://propublica.github.io/congress-api-docs/#congress-api-documentation</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>congress-legislators</td>
<td>Remote API</td>
<td>Contains data on legislators</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/unitedstates/congress-legislators">https://github.com/unitedstates/congress-legislators</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MediaWiki API</td>
<td>Remote API</td>
<td>Allows access to information on Wikipedia</td>
<td><a href="https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/API:Main_page">https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/API:Main_page</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook Graph API</td>
<td>Remote API</td>
<td>Can provide posts made by other individuals or pages related to the question asked.</td>
<td><a href="https://developers.facebook.com/docs/graph-api">https://developers.facebook.com/docs/graph-api</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter Streaming API</td>
<td>Remote API</td>
<td>Can provide posts made by other individuals or pages related to the question asked.</td>
<td><a href="https://dev.twitter.com/streaming/public">https://dev.twitter.com/streaming/public</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### External Ontologies, Vocabularies, or other Model Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Describes/Uses</th>
<th>Access Policies &amp; Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government glossary</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>Contains a glossary of government terms</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/unitedstates/glossary">https://github.com/unitedstates/glossary</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBC Ontology</td>
<td>OWL</td>
<td>BBC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackish Ontology</td>
<td></td>
<td>? (Disney)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Resources, Service, or Triggers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Access Policies &amp; Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chrome Extension</td>
<td>Application Services</td>
<td>Google has resources on how to create your own chrome extension</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td><a href="https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/getstarted">https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/getstarted</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IX. References and Bibliography**

While not sure about how to properly scrape these sites for data because for now there are no available or supported apis from these sources. Examples include but not limited to: govtrack.us lcv.org